

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/500,590	06/30/2004	Sven-Ake Afsenius	76159	4764
26288 ALBIHNS STO	7590 07/02/2007 OCKHOLM AB		EXAM	INER
BOX 5581, LII	NNEGATAN 2		VILLECCO, JOHN M	
SE-114 85 STO STOCKHOLM	OCKHOLM; SWEDENn I,		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
SWEDEN			2622	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/02/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/500,590	AFSENIUS, SVEN-AKE			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	John M. Villecco	2622			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence address			
		AONTHAS OF THIRTY (20) DAVE			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the nearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tatute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3	80 June 2004.				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> 2b) ⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	•	• •			
closed in accordance with the practice und	ler <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.[	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the applica	tion.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		·			
8) Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) ☐ objected to	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for form a) All b) Some * c) None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received in A	Application No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have beer	received in this National Stage			
application from the International Bu					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	t received.			
Attachment(s) )  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) T Intention	Summary (PTO-413)			
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date			
i) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)	Informal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/500,590 Page 2

Art Unit: 2622

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-8, 15-17, 18/1, 18/3/1, 18/3/2, 18/4/1, 18/4/2, 18/5, 18/6/5, 18/7/5,
     18/8/5, 18/15, 18/16/15, 18/17/15, and 19-32 drawn to composing an optimally focused image by combining the best portions of a plurality of images of the same scene, classified in class 348, subclass 218.1.
  - II. Claims 9-14, 18/9, 18/10/9, 18/11/9, 18/12/9, 18/13/9, 18/14/9, and 23 drawn to the structure of a viewfinder, classified in class 348, subclass 341.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination I has separate utility such as for composing an optimally focused scene using a plurality of images, regardless of the type of viewfinder used. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together. Where applicant elects a subcombination and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP

Application/Control Number: 10/500,590

Art Unit: 2622

§ 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:

- (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;
- (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;
- (c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);
- (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
- (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete <u>must</u> include

(i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John M. Villecco whose telephone number is (571) 272-7319. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Ometz can be reached on (571) 272-7593. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/500,590

Art Unit: 2622

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Page 5

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

John/M. Villecco

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2622

June 18, 2007